Maryland Birdlife 66(2):29-35

# First Known Case of a Passerine Presumably Returning a Dead Chick to the Nest

Maren E. Gimpel<sup>1, 3</sup> and Jennie M. Carr<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chester River Field Research Station, Washington College, 210 South Cross Street, Chestertown, Maryland 21620 USA
<sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, Washington College, 300 Washington Avenue, Chestertown, Maryland 21620 USA
<sup>3</sup>Corresponding author; e-mail: mgimpel2@washcoll.edu

**Abstract:** Nest sanitation is a well-documented behavior in birds, with benefits including less damage to eggs and chicks, lowering the risk of infection/infestation, and reduced nest detection by predators. Despite these clear benefits, here we report the first known documented case of a dead chick being placed back into a nest, presumably by a parent. We documented this behavior in a Field Sparrow (*Spizella pusilla*) as an incidental behavior observed as part of a larger multi-year provisioning study. Although the motivation behind returning a dead chick to a nest remains unclear, this is the first known reported case of such a behavior in any bird species.

**Keywords:** behavior, dead nestling, Field Sparrow, nestling, nest sanitation, *Spizella pusilla* 

Many species of passerines engage in a variety of nest sanitation practices. Guigueno and Sealy (2012) reported 274 species of 40 families that engaged in some form of nest sanitation including the removal of debris such as twigs or other vegetation, foreign non-egg-shaped objects, cracked or broken eggs, unhatched eggs, egg shells, fecal sacs, and dead chicks. A clean nest may reduce parasitic infestations and olfaction- or vision-based detection by predators (Tinbergen et al. 1962, Petit et al. 1989). However, there has been limited recent experimental support for these potential adaptive values of nest sanitation (Ibáñez-Álamo et al. 2014, 2016).

The removal of sharp and abrasive objects may prevent damage to existing eggs. Sticks experimentally placed into nests were buried or removed from 100% of nests during incubation in the Great Reed Warbler (*Acrocephalus arundinaceus*) (Moskát et al. 2003). Furthermore, the removal of eggshells reduces the likelihood of "capping" where portions of an unhatched egg become trapped within the shell of a previously hatched egg thus interfering with hatching

(Derrickson and Warkentin 1991). Cracked eggs can also leak their contents, potentially adhering eggs together and resulting in damage to the eggs or nest lining (Kemal and Rothstein 1988).

Although the removal of waste, especially fecal sacs, from the nest by attending parents is well documented (Middleton 1998, Grant and Knapton 2012), reports of the removal of deceased chicks are less common. However, within the passerines, the presence of a deceased chick in the nest appears to be closely monitored by the parents. The removal of dead nestlings by parent birds has been documented in at least 20 North American species (Guigueno and Sealy 2012). Entire clutches of dead nestlings were removed from a Red-faced Warbler (Cardellina rubrifrons) nest after a thunderstorm (Kirkpatrick et al. 2009). Female Tree Swallows (Tachycineta bicolor) removed dead nestlings from the nests of conspecifics where they displaced the original female and remained to raise their own offspring (Chek and Robertson 1991). Within the family Passerellidae, Yellow-eyed Juncos (Junco phaeonotus) were documented removing two dead nestlings after a thunderstorm (Kirkpatrick et al. 2009) and in the Dark-eyed Junco (Junco hyemalis), both parents removed dead nestlings within 4 to 12 hours (Nolan et al. 2002). Adult Lincoln's Sparrows (Melospiza lincolnii) carried away experimental objects and dead nestlings immediately (Ammon 1995). Wheelwright and Rising (2008) report parent Savannah Sparrows (Passerculus sandwichensis) removing dead nestlings younger than 4-5 days old. Within Spizella sparrows (the genus of interest in this behavioral note), Brewer's Sparrows (S. breweri) also removed dead chicks within a few hours (Rotenberry et al. 1999). Like many species, Field Sparrows (S. pusilla) have also been reported to remove fecal sacs (Carey et al. 2008).

As part of a larger, on-going study of parental behavior of Field Sparrows, we observed the removal of both fecal sacs and dead chicks in this species. Here we report a case of a dead nestling Field Sparrow found outside of the nest bowl and subsequently returned to its nest presumably by the attending parents; this was the only known instance of such behavior over the course of this extensive three-year study and, to our knowledge, there are no known instances of this behavior reported in any other avian species.

## SITE AND METHODS

Our field site is a 91.7-ha (226.6-ac) Conservation Reserve Program warm season grassland managed by the Chester River Field Research Station (CRFRS) in Queen Anne's County, Maryland (39.23° N, 76.00° W; for more information on establishment, management, and bird studies, see Gill et al. 2006). As part of a study of parental provisioning, we searched for and monitored Field Sparrow nests over three consecutive summers (2014–2016). Field Sparrow territories were mapped, and adults were color-banded and monitored to identify mating

pairs and their nest sites. A video camera recorded parental provisioning rates at nests on approximately day three, five, and seven post-hatching.

## **OBSERVATIONS**

Nest NF12FS2 was found on 19 May 2016 at 0806 EST with two live Field Sparrow chicks in the bowl and one dead chick on the nest rim, approximately 4 cm (1.6 in) from the center of the nest bowl. The chicks were all the same size and were determined to have hatched the day before based on small body size and limited feather development (MEG, pers. obs.). The nest was photographed by JMC (Figure 1) and the location was marked using a hand-held global positioning system (Garmin GPSMAP 62 receiver). A five-year-old male and four-year-old female attended the nest. Although both birds were present in the study area for the previous two years, this was their first nesting attempt as a mated pair. The open cup nest was built into the duff of cool season grasses and was 100% visible from above. Forty-five minutes later, MEG photographed three chicks in the nest, two living and one dead (Figure 2). Upon comparing the two photographs, we determined that the dead chick on the nest rim was subsequently placed back into the nest bowl with the living chicks. The dead chick was pale in color and easily distinguishable from its nestmates, suggesting it had been dead for several hours, but had no visible signs of injury. We removed the dead nestling and continued to monitor the nest. One of the two remaining chicks disappeared the following day. The surviving chick was banded with a USGS aluminum band on 25 May 2016 and fledged 28 May 2016. The parents held their territory for the remainder of the breeding season, but we were unable to find any additional nests for this pair.

#### DISCUSSION

Here we report the first documented case of a dead passerine nestling being returned to its nest after having been removed. Although we cannot verify precisely where or how the nestling died, a dead chick found on the rim of the nest and subsequently found back into the bowl is noteworthy. We assume that in this case, one parent recognized the chick as dead and attempted to remove it from the nest as part of its nest sanitation; this sort of behavior was documented in video footage collected at other nests as part of a larger study. Since the chick was just outside the nest, perhaps the bird was interrupted in the task. Unfortunately, the activity at the nest was not yet being video recorded at the time that this behavior occurred. As such, the parents' behaviors are largely conjecture. However, time-stamped photo documentation of the nest clearly indicated that the dead chick was placed back into the nest, presumably by a parent; the chick was one day post-hatching and thus too small to leave the nest on its own volition. A predator could have been interrupted while attempting to remove the chick while it was alive, but this seems unlikely due to the



Figure 1. Field Sparrow nest NF12FS2 at 0806 on 19 May 2016. The nest contained two living chicks and one dead chick just outside the nest rim. Chester River Field Research Station, Queen Anne's County, Maryland.



Figure 2. Field Sparrow nest NF12FS2 at 0851 on 19 May 2016. Note that 45 minutes have elapsed and the dead chick has been moved from its previous position outside of the nest rim back into the nest with the two living chicks. Chester River Field Research Station, Queen Anne's County, Maryland.

defenseless nature of the chick and lack of visible signs of trauma or nest disturbance.

Although some species are known to move eggs into nests (Tenaza 1971, Prevett and Prevett 1973, Kim et al. 2006), the placement of chicks into nests has received little attention. An adult bird's ability to carry away a dead chick implies that birds presumably have some ability to move chicks within or between nests, particularly if a chick was in danger of falling out or wriggling away from the nest. Schaefer and Dinsmore (1992) provided one odd report of a living chick being moved to another nest; an additional American Crow (*Corvus brachyrhynchos*) nestling appeared at a nest tended by several adults. Based on the chick's size relative to the others in the nest, Schaefer and Dinsmore (1992) presumed that the chick was most likely to have been taken from another nest as a very recently hatched nestling. Although there is some evidence to suggest that birds may move living chicks between nests, the observations reported here are unexpected, given the potential predation- and sanitation-based risks associated with placing a dead chick back into a nest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Travis Clevenstine, John Zhang, Mike Hudson, and Erika Koontz (all Washington College) for assistance in the field and Dan Small (CRFRS) for both field and editorial support. Thanks to landowner Henry Sears, M.D. for his ceaseless support of research on the property. This project was funded in part by the John S. Toll Science and Mathematics Fellows Program at Washington College. Field methods were approved by Washington College's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol # Su14-002). We also thank Doug Gill (Department of Biology, University of Maryland College Park) for help in improving this manuscript.

### LITERATURE CITED

Ammon, E.M. 1995. Lincoln's Sparrow (*Melospiza lincolnii*), The Birds of North America (P.G. Rodewald, Editor). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology; Retrieved from the Birds of North America: https://birdsna.org/Species-Account/bna/species/linspa. Accessed February 2017.

Carey, M., D.E. Burhans, and D.A. Nelson. 2008. Field Sparrow (*Spizella pusilla*), The Birds of North America (P.G. Rodewald, Editor). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology; Retrieved from the Birds of North America: https://birdsna.org/Species-Account/bna/species/fiespa. Accessed February 2017.

- Chek, A.A., and R.J. Robertson. 1991. Infanticide in female Tree Swallows: a role for sexual selection. *The Condor* 93(2):454–457.
- Derrickson, K.C., and I.G. Warkentin. 1991. The role of egg-capping in the evolution of eggshell removal. *The Condor* 93(3):757–759.
- Gill, D.E., P. Blank, J. Parks, J.B. Guerard, B. Lohr, E. Schwartzman, J.G. Gruber, G. Dodge, C.A. Rewa, and H.F. Sears. 2006. Plants and breeding bird response on a managed Conservation Reserve Program grassland in Maryland. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 34(4):944–956.
- Grant, T.A., and R.W. Knapton. 2012. Clay-colored Sparrow (*Spizella pallida*), The Birds of North America (P.G. Rodewald, Editor). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology; Retrieved from the Birds of North America: https://birdsna.org/Species-Account/bna/species/clcspa. Accessed February 2017.
- Guigueno, M.F., and S.G. Sealy. 2012. Nest sanitation in passerine birds: implications for egg rejection in hosts of brood parasites. *Journal of Ornithology* 153(1):35–52.
- Ibáñez-Álamo, J.D., F. Ruiz-Raya, L. Rodríguez, and M. Soler. 2016. Fecal sacs attract insects to the nest and provoke an activation of the immune system of nestlings. *Frontiers in Zoology* 13(3):1–9. DOI: 10.1186/s12983-016-0135-3.
- Ibáñez-Álamo, J.D., F. Ruiz-Raya, G. Roncalli, and M. Soler. 2014. Is nest predation an important selective pressure determining fecal sac removal? The effect of olfactory cues. *Journal of Ornithology* 155(2):491–496.
- Kemal, R.E., and S.I. Rothstein. 1988. Mechanisms of avian egg recognition: adaptive responses to eggs with broken shells. *Animal Behaviour* 36(1):175– 183.
- Kim, J.-H., H.-K. Nam, G.R. Fulton, and J.-C. Yoo. 2006. Egg retrieval as a source of nests with supernormal and mixed egg clutch in Little Terns *Sterna albifrons*. *Journal of Ecology and Field Biology* 29(6):545–550.
- Kirkpatrick, C., C.J. Conway, and M.H. Ali. 2009. Sanitation of entire broods of dead nestlings may bias cause-specific nest failure rates. *Ibis* 151(1):207–211.
- Middleton, A.L. 1998. Chipping Sparrow (*Spizella passerina*), The Birds of North America (P.G. Rodewald, Editor). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology; Retrieved from the Birds of North America: https://birdsna.org/Species-Account/bna/species/chispa. Accessed February 2017.

- Moskát, C., T. Székely, T. Kisbenedek, Z. Karcza, and I. Bártol. 2003. The importance of nest cleaning in egg rejection behaviour of Great Reed Warblers *Acrocephalus arundinaceus*. *Journal of Avian Biology* 34(1):16–19.
- Nolan, V., Jr., E.D. Ketterson, D.A. Cristol, C.M. Rogers, E.D. Clotfelter, R.C. Titus, S.J. Schoech, and E. Snajdr. 2002. Dark-eyed Junco (*Junco hyemalis*), The Birds of North America (P.G. Rodewald, Editor). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology; Retrieved from the Birds of North America: https://birdsna.org/Species-Account/bna/species/daejun. Accessed February 2017.
- Petit K.E., L.J. Petit, and D.R. Petit. 1989. Fecal sac removal: do the pattern and distance of dispersal affect the chance of nest predation? *The Condor* 91(2):479–482.
- Prevett, J.P., and L.S. Prevett. 1973. Egg retrieval by Blue Geese. *The Auk* 90(1):202–204.
- Rotenberry, J.T., M.A. Patten, and K.L. Preston. 1999. Brewer's Sparrow (*Spizella breweri*), The Birds of North America (P.G. Rodewald, Editor). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology; Retrieved from the Birds of North America: https://birdsna.org/Species-Account/bna/species/brespa. Accessed February 2017.
- Schaefer J.M., and J.J. Dinsmore. 1992. Movement of a nestling between American Crow nests. *The Wilson Bulletin* 104(1):185–187.
- Tenaza, R. 1971. Behavior and nesting success relative to nest location in Adélie Penguins (*Pygoscelis adeliae*). *The Condor* 73(1):81–92.
- Tinbergen N, G.J. Broekhuysen, F. Feekes, J.C.W. Houghton, H. Kruuk, and E. Szulc. 1962. Egg shell removal by the Black-headed Gull, *Larus ridibundus* L.; a behaviour component of camouflage. *Behaviour* 19(1–2):74–117.
- Wheelwright, N.T., and J.D. Rising. 2008. Savannah Sparrow (*Passerculus sandwichensis*), The Birds of North America (P.G. Rodewald, Editor). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology; Retrieved from the Birds of North America: https://birdsna.org/Species-Account/bna/species/savspa. Accessed February 2017.